```
1
                 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
             FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
                      EASTERN DIVISION
 3
 4
    IN RE: NATIONAL PRESCRIPTION ) MDL No. 2804
 5
    OPIATE LITIGATION
    -----) Case No. 1:17-MD-2804
 6
 7
    THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:
    Track Eight
                                )Judge Dan Aaron Polster
 8
    ----)
 9
10
11
        VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF LEIGH ANNE JACOBSON
                  TUESDAY, NOVEMBER 8, 2022
12
13
         HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECTIVE TO FURTHER
14
                   CONFIDENTIALITY REVIEW
15
16
17
               Remote videotaped deposition of LEIGH ANNE
    JACOBSON, commencing at 9:10 a.m., on the above date,
18
19
    before Juliana F. Zajicek, Registered Professional
20
    Reporter, Certified Shorthand Reporter and Certified
21
    Realtime Reporter.
22
23
                 GOLKOW LITIGATION SERVICES
              877.370.3377 ph | 917.591.5672 fax
24
                         Deps@golkow.com
```

- 1 other than just your working and on-the-job training
- 2 as an intern, right? There -- you don't recall, I
- 3 went to training school before I -- before I became a
- 4 pharmacist, right?
- 5 A. I don't --
- 6 MS. WHITE: Objection to form.
- 7 BY THE WITNESS:
- 8 A. I don't recall going to training school in
- 9 that sense of what you are saying right there, no.
- 10 BY MS. DICKINSON:
- 11 Q. Okay. And I -- I used training school, I
- 12 was being a little cute.
- You just don't recall a formal course
- 14 selection that you were required to attend prior to
- 15 the time you became a pharmacist at Publix, you don't
- 16 recall one sitting here today?
- 17 MS. WHITE: Objection to form.
- 18 BY THE WITNESS:
- 19 A. I don't.
- 20 BY MS. DICKINSON:
- 21 Q. Okay. Similarly to what I asked you way
- 22 earlier about being a pharmacy intern, do you recall
- 23 any written manuals or training materials that you
- were required to read that were given to you prior to

Highly Confidential - Subject to Further Confidentiality Review Case: 1:17-md-02804-DAP Doc#: 5593-34 Filed: 08/20/24 3 of 16. PageID #: 643924

- 1 you becoming a pharmacist for the first time at
- 2 Publix?
- 3 MS. WHITE: Objection to form.
- 4 BY THE WITNESS:
- 5 A. Publix, for as long as I can recall, has
- 6 educated or placed emphasis on changes, et cetera,
- 7 through a weekly memo, and it was my responsibility as
- 8 an intern to read the menu -- or sorry -- to read the
- 9 memo, rather, and ask my supervisor, whether it was my
- 10 pharmacist at that time or my actual pharmacy
- 11 supervisor for additional questions or concerns.
- 12 So there would be continuous education
- 13 that happened through the weekly memo, as well as
- 14 pharmacy supervisors, again, to my knowledge as a
- 15 pharmacist, as well in that current role continue to
- 16 have regular visits where they are in pharmacies and
- 17 discussing and training and encouraging the culture
- 18 that we want to -- to continue at Publix.
- 19 BY MS. DICKINSON:
- Q. Okay. Other than the weekly memo, I'm
- 21 just trying to understand, were you given any written
- 22 documents or man -- manuals, you know, things that you
- 23 were required to read that would educate you right
- 24 before you became a pharmacist?

- 1 same visibility that I described for other stores.
- 2 BY MS. DICKINSON:
- 3 Q. You have the same visibility into the
- 4 volume of other -- that other stores are selling of
- 5 C-IIs and prescription opioids, correct?
- 6 MS. WHITE: Objection to form.
- 7 BY MS. DICKINSON:
- 8 Q. Correct?
- 9 A. The report that is given to me includes
- 10 all of the company's stores and I can then filter down
- 11 to my stores. So, again, that information is
- 12 provided. Is it something that is there, yes.
- Q. Okay. Have you ever looked at whether
- 14 Store 146 in your time as a pharmacy supervisor was
- one of the higher volume sellers of prescription
- 16 opioids?
- 17 Did you ever look at that in the State of
- 18 Georgia?
- 19 MS. WHITE: Object. Objection to form.
- 20 BY THE WITNESS:
- 21 A. To my knowledge, Store 146 has not been
- one that I have needed to investigate further.
- 23 BY MS. DICKINSON:
- Q. Okay. That's not the question I asked. I

- 1 just need -- I just asked if you had ever looked at
- 2 whether that store was one of the highest volume
- 3 stores in the State of Georgia during your time as a
- 4 pharmacy supervisor.
- 5 Did you ever look at that?
- 6 A. Again --
- 7 MS. WHITE: Object to form. Object to form.
- Now you can go.
- 9 BY THE WITNESS:
- 10 A. Again, I look at a report as a whole.
- 11 That particular report additionally helps identify
- 12 stores that could be of concern. And I have not, to
- my knowledge, had 146 be, from my observation of the
- 14 report, be a -- an additional cause for concern.
- 15 BY MS. DICKINSON:
- 16 Q. How do you determine what a cause for
- 17 concern is by looking at that report? What are
- 18 reasons that you would have a cause for concern about
- 19 certain stores?
- MS. WHITE: Object to the form.
- 21 BY THE WITNESS:
- 22 A. I'm first going to look at any stores that
- 23 would be highlighted and investigate those
- 24 coordinating colors if there were any significant

Highly Confidential - Subject to Further Confidentiality Review Case: 1:17-md-02804-DAP Doc #: 5593-34 Filed: 08/20/24 6 of 16. PageID #: 643927

- 1 concerns -- or I would investigate anything that was
- 2 colored, rather, and look at any trend that I myself
- 3 might identify.
- 4 BY MS. DICKINSON:
- 5 Q. Okay. I think we are going to look at
- 6 some of those documents.
- 7 Are you talking about the documents that
- 8 are on the sales dashboard showing the amount of
- 9 sales?
- 10 A. No.
- 11 Q. Okay. All right.
- When you are looking at what a store of
- 13 concern is, are you looking at the total volume coming
- 14 out of that store or are you looking at something
- 15 else?
- MS. WHITE: Object to form.
- 17 BY THE WITNESS:
- 18 A. I am specifically in this scenario
- 19 referencing the C-II -- the monthly C-II pool report.
- 20 BY MS. DICKINSON:
- 21 Q. Okay. And the monthly C-II pool report
- 22 shows the total volume of C-IIs done by each store,
- 23 right?
- A. That is part of the data, yes.

Highly Confidential - Subject to Further Confidentiality Review Case: 1:17-md-02804-DAP Doc #: 5593-34 Filed: 08/20/24 7 of 16. PageID #: 643928

```
1
          Α.
                Yes.
          Q.
                And that was a -- that was training that
     was in place and set forth the procedures for
 3
     controlled substance threshold increases for pharmacy
 5
     supervisors, is that fair?
 6
          Α.
                Yes.
 7
          MS. DICKINSON: Okay. Let's put that one aside.
                Let's go to Tab 44. Tab 44 we are going
 8
     to mark as Exhibit 29.
10
                     (WHEREUPON, a certain document was
11
                     marked Leigh Anne Jacobson Deposition
12
                     Exhibit No. 29, for identification,
13
                     as of 11/08/2022.)
     BY MS. DICKINSON:
14
15
                You were involved in your time as a
          Q.
    pharmacy supervisor from 2016 to present in
16
17
     threshold -- requests for threshold increases,
18
     correct?
19
          Α.
                I got distracted with the reading. I
20
     apologize.
21
                What was the question?
22
          Q.
                That's okay.
23
                During the time that you were a pharmacy
24
     supervisor, you were involved in requests to increase
```

Golkow Litigation Services

Highly Confidential - Subject to Further Confidentiality Review Case: 1:17-md-02804-DAP Doc #: 5593-34 Filed: 08/20/24 8 of 16. PageID #: 643929

- 1 thresholds for opioid controlled substances, correct?
- 2 A. I was involved in the process, yes.
- Q. Okay. And what -- what part of the
- 4 process did you play as a pharmacy supervisor?
- 5 A. In reviewing if the threshold was
- 6 appropriate or not would be a fair generalization.
- 7 Q. Okay. And when you say "reviewing if the
- 8 threshold was appropriate or not," does that mean the
- 9 decision whether to increase the threshold was
- 10 appropriate or not?
- 11 A. My understanding would be that my job was
- 12 to investigate the initial request, looking at the
- 13 utilization of the drug, for example, this particular
- 14 patient, evaluating this prescription to make sure it
- would be one that would be appropriate to make the
- 16 accommodation for.
- 17 Q. What did you do to investigate whether
- 18 that request for the -- this particular patient was
- 19 appropriate? What were the things that you looked at?
- 20 A. It would be difficult for me to remember
- 21 this one explicitly.
- Q. You know what, that was a bad question,
- 23 can I just strike that, I don't think I want you to
- 24 struggle to do that.

Highly Confidential - Subject to Further Confidentiality Review Case: 1:17-md-02804-DAP Doc #: 5593-34 Filed: 08/20/24 9 of 16. PageID #: 643930

- 1 What, I guess, I would rather know is when
- 2 you got a request for a controlled substance threshold
- 3 increase regarding opioids, did you evaluate all of
- 4 the other prescriptions that came before this
- 5 prescription in arriving at whether you should
- 6 increase the threshold or not or just the prescription
- 7 at issue?
- 8 A. So for me that would be looking at the
- 9 whole usage. One of my first questions when these
- 10 come up is: Has another pharmacy closed or is
- 11 something going on that could be impacting an increase
- in need clearly. Again, a new prescriber, increased
- 13 growth, a pharmacy closing, et cetera.
- 14 Beyond that, I would historically pull a
- 15 Drug Utilization Report and look at the prescription
- 16 use of that particular drug as well to just provide
- 17 another set of eyes on it and make sure there wasn't
- 18 anything concerning for me as well.
- 19 Q. What did you do to document what you were
- looking at and the due diligence you were doing in
- 21 evaluating that threshold increase?
- A. A lot of it would have been just pulling
- 23 up prescriptions and looking at them through
- 24 Enterprise that -- forgive me, I don't know if there

Highly Confidential - Subject to Further Confidentiality Review Case: 1:17-md-02804-DAP Doc#: 5593-34 Filed: 08/20/24 10 of 16. PageID #: 643931

- 1 is a digital stamp for that or how that is traced,
- 2 obviously with HIPAA and so forth. And if there were
- 3 questions or it concerns, I might ask the pharmacist,
- 4 tell me about this prescription, help me understand.
- 5 I'm also looking at those patient profiles for other
- 6 medications, are we just filling opioid medications
- 7 for them, because that would be a concern for me.
- 8 There is a lot that goes into that, both looking and
- 9 discussing with the pharmacist as well.
- 10 Q. What do you do to document all of those
- 11 things you just talked about, where could I find that?
- 12 A. Most times I would believe that I would
- e-mail that or e-mail that I have investigated it
- 14 and -- and my decision either way on it.
- 15 Q. Okay. What I'm -- what I'm asking is a
- 16 little different. I'm not asking about your decision,
- 17 e-mailing what your decision was either to approve or
- 18 deny.
- 19 What I'm asking for is where do I find
- 20 written down all of the things you did to investigate
- 21 whether the threshold change was appropriate? Where
- 22 do I find that? Where would I find that?
- A. I don't know that you will find it every
- 24 single time because I -- I guess in my training, HIPAA

1	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
2	
3	I, JULIANA F. ZAJICEK, a Registered
4	Professional Reporter and Certified Shorthand
5	Reporter, do hereby certify that prior to the
6	commencement of the examination of the witness herein,
7	the witness was duly remotely sworn by me to testify
8	to the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the
9	truth.
10	I DO FURTHER CERTIFY that the foregoing is
11	a verbatim transcript of the testimony as taken
12	stenographically by me at the time, place and on the
13	date hereinbefore set forth, to the best of my
14	availability.
15	I DO FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither a
16	relative nor employee nor attorney nor counsel of any
17	of the parties to this action, and that I am neither a
18	relative nor employee of such attorney or counsel, and
19	that I am not interested directly or indirectly in the
20	outcome of this action.
21	
22	
23	Juliane 7. Zajicil
24	JULIANA F. ZAJICEK, Certified Reporter

Golkow Litigation Services

Case: 1:17-md-02804-DAP Doc #: 5593-34 Filed: 08/20/24 12 of 16. PageID #: 643933

From: Chad Madill </O=PUBLIX/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP

(FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=CHAD MADILL>

To: Bart Bamberg; Leigh Anne Jacobson; Lindsay Burckhalter; Luis Medina; Mike Chavez; Stacy

Burke

CC: Chad Madill

Sent: 6/8/2018 11:57:54 AM

Subject: CS Threshold Training for Pharmacy Supervisors
Attachments: CS Threshold Training for Pharmacy Supervisors.docx



Team,

It's important that you review this document prior to our call on Monday. We will discuss if you have any questions.

Thank you,

Chad Madill, PharmD, MBA
Pharmacy Operations Manager | Atlanta Division | Publix Super Markets, Inc.
Office | 770.952.6601 x31659
Fax | 863.284.3349
chad.madill@publix.com

This e-mail message and any attachment(s) are confidential, contain information intended only for the addressee(s), and may be subject to attorney-client privilege. Any retention, storage, forwarding, retransmission, publication or other use or disclosure of this message or any of its attachments by any other person is <u>strictly prohibited</u>. If you have received this transmission in error, please notify the sender immediately. Also, please destroy the original message, including all attachments and all copies. This e-mail does not constitute an e-signature, and by this email Publix Super Markets, Inc. does not intend to evidence a binding agreement. Thank you.

Controlled Substance Threshold Training for Pharmacy Supervisors

Background

This is training for supervisors to help them understand their roles and responsibilities as they relate to Controlled Substance Ordering and Increase of Thresholds. Publix establishes controlled substance thresholds by store to ensure we are in compliance with DEA regulations, and to prevent potential diversion from suspicious ordering. New stores are set corporately with initial thresholds based on the selected model store. No action is required by the Supervisor and/or Pharmacy team for this step.

Importance

Supervisors need to understand that they are <u>held equally responsible</u> as the Pharmacist for diversion if it occurs at a store where they have approved threshold increases without conducting proper due diligence to ensure the pharmacy's compliance with DEA rules and regulations.

The Process

Stores will periodically receive threshold rejections for controls shipping from the warehouse and ABC, but the notifications will come in different formats. Please refer to the 4/18/2018 Weekly Memo for more details regarding notification of the threshold rejection.

Once a store is notified of the rejection, the pharmacist should be the first to investigate the change in business (new patient, surrounding store closure, opening of a new Healthcare Practitioner (HCP) practice, etc). If they believe the increase to be reasonable and justifies a request for increase, a Controlled Substance Threshold Change Request should be submitted via the Publix Connection. (Path: Publix Connection \rightarrow Pharmacy Operations \rightarrow Ordering and Receiving Product \rightarrow Controlled Substance Threshold Change Request).

This request will generate an automated email to the pharmacy, supervisor and Megan McAvoy, Manager of Procurement, Generic Trade. It is imperative that all supervisors understand that <u>no action is taken to increase this request until a supervisor also evaluates the business justification and provides written approval</u> to Megan McAvoy and the Pharmacy Operations Manager with the following *suggested* documentation:

- o (From the Performance Report in doc direct) Avg Rx/Wk % Chg YTD
- (From the CII Monthly Pull Report): Is the pharmacy highlighted in any category or in CII Rx%? If yes, please provide detail.

continued on next page

TIME

Controlled Substance Threshold Training for Pharmacy Supervisors 1

Controlled Substance Threshold Training for Pharmacy Supervisors, Continued

Best Practices

Below is a recap of best practices for reviewing and approving threshold increase requests:

- Please keep in mind, thresholds are based on a 30 day rolling period, not a calendar month
- Review the product in question and the "why" in the pharmacy explanation in the request
 - Does anything stand out? Is reason vague? If necessary, follow up with Pharmacist for more info.
 - Don't be afraid to ask questions and require more detailed justification
- Evaluate the requesting pharmacist
 - o Have they properly vetted incoming Rx?
 - Are they comfortable "saying no" to patients when required?
- Review Store Growth
 - Review the Pharmacy Performance Report
 - Rx Count Trending
 - Increasing thresholds where Rx count growth is flat or declining should be a red flag
 - Does increase amount match store growth rate?
 - o Has there been a change in overall store environment?
 - New HCP practice open?
 - Competitor closing?
 - File Buys?
- Review the monthly CII Pull Report
 - Pull up this store only and look for the following:
 - Is column H highlighted red? These are the top 25 stores with highest CII Rx %
 - Is the Store number in Column A highlighted yellow? Those have high dispense % in multiple categories
 - Remaining highlighted Stores in Column A are high in specific drug categories and require additional investigation

Questions

Please always first contact your POM if you have questions before sending an approval of which you are unsure.

² Controlled Substance Threshold Training for Pharmacy Supervisors

Case: 1:17-md-02804-DAP Doc #: 5593-34 Filed: 08/20/24 15 of 16. PageID #: 643936

From: Leigh Anne Jacobson </O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP

(FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=6829B32B6FB448B3AE683EEB5A15E5BC-

RLAD283_9D2>

To: Customer Care
Sent: 7/22/2020 3:01:24 PM

Subject: RE: Standard - Case Ref # 2695291 - PHARMACY - INITIAL



I have called and spoken with Dr. Beecham on this. I have apologized and reiterated that Publix follows GA Law in these situations in that it is the pharmacists license and discretion whether to fill a prescription and we do not have extremes of not filling globally for a Dr, drug, etc. that each prescription is to be evaluated and reviewed if ok to fill.

He appreciated the follow up and the information.

Close Case.

Thank you,

Leigh Anne Jacobson | Pharmacy Supervisor - Pharmacy Operations

Publix Super Markets, Inc.

(Phone: (770) 952-6601 ext. 31676



This email, and any attachments, are intended solely for the use of the individual(s) to whom they are addressed. They may contain confidential information and/or protected health information (PHI) that is protected by law. If you believe you were not the intended recipient of this message, you are hereby notified that any review, dissemination, distribution, printing or copying of this email message and/or any attachments is strictly prohibited. If you have received this transmission in error, please notify the sender immediately and permanently delete this email and any attachments. If you properly received this e-mail, you should maintain its contents in confidence in accordance with applicable law.

From: Customer Care < UEPCCCP@publix.com>

Sent: Wednesday, July 22, 2020 2:07 PM

To: Leigh Anne Jacobson < Leigh. Jacobson@publix.com>

Subject: Standard - Case Ref # 2695291 - PHARMACY - INITIAL

The following case could not be resolved by our Customer Care specialist. Please review the information below and provide the specialist with the action taken to resolve the case or provide the specialist with the information needed to respond to the customer. The case will remain open until we receive resolution from your area.

IMPORTANT NOTE: Please do not alter the subject line of this email as it contains the case reference number which will allow us to receive your reply.

In addition, please ensure your response is sent to <u>Customer.Care@publix.com</u> (either via a Reply To or forwarded to the mailbox listed) in order to guarantee receipt by Customer Care.

CUSTOMER INFORMATION

Name: Dr. Allen R. Beecham

Address: Address 2:

City, State & ZIP: Holly Springs, GA 30188

Email: Not Available

Case: 1:17-md-02804-DAP Doc #: 5593-34 Filed: 08/20/24 16 of 16. PageID #: 643937

Phone (Home): Phone (Work):

Phone (Cell): 404-213-2109

Phone (Alt Cell):

Phone (Cell): 678-661-4545 x204

CASE INFORMATION

Received Date: 07/22/2020 13:28:09

Contact Source: Phone

Pref. Contact Method: Cell Phone

ISSUE INFORMATION

Reason: Refuse To Fill Script

Store: 636 - The Centre At Woodstock

Associate Name: -

Publix Digital Platform: Not Available

Product UPC: Not Available Product Desc: Not Available Item Code: Not Available

Summary:

The customer is concerned with pharmacy refusing to fill prescription.

Social Media Contact Date: Not Available

Verbatim - 07/22/2020 13:31:52

The customer is concerned with pharmacy refusing to fill prescription.

The patients Dr is calling to speak with the PS.